Case 3:1	1-cv-00997-H-RBB D	ocument 3 Fil	led 05/06/11 Page 1 of 1
	Mail Stop 8 of the U.S. Patent and Trade P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-14	150	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
In Compliance with been filed in the U Trademarks:	h 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 S. District Court Southern	5 U.S.C. § 1116 yo District of Califor	ou are hereby advised that a court action has rnia on the following: _X_ Patents or
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	US Dist	trict Court Southern District of California
3:11-cv-00997-H	-RBB 5/6/11	San Die	ego. CA
PLAINTIFF		DEFEN	
Drivecam, Inc.		Smartdr	rive Systems, Inc.
PATENT ( TRADEMAR			PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.
<b>1.</b> 6,389,340	6.	11.	
<b>2.</b> 7,804,426	7.	12.	
<b>3.</b> 7,659,827	8.	13.	
4	9.	14.	
5.	10.	15.	
In the	above-entitled case, the fol	lowing patents(s)/	/ trademark(s) have been included:
DATE INCLUDE	) INCLUD	DED BY	
D.A. (EVEN)	Amen	dment Answer	Cross Bill Other Pleading
PATEN TRADEM	<b>I</b>	PATENT OR	PATENT OR
1.	6.	RADEMARK NO	O. TRADEMARK NO.
2.	7.		12.
2. 3.	8.		13.
4.	9.		14.
5	10.		15.
In the ab	ove-entitled case, the follow	owing decision has	s been rendered or judgment issued:
DECISION/JUDGI	MENT	- M	
CLERK	(RY	Y) DEPUTY <b>(Lt</b> I	RK DATE LOOP
W. Samuel Hamric	l`		70Hild 817/2012

	Case 3:11-cv-00997-H-RBB Documen	t 98 Filed 07/13/12 Page 1 of 1			
1					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT			
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DRIVECAM, INC.,	CASE NO. 11-CV-0997-H (RBB)			
12 13	Plaintiff vs.	ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS			
13	SMARTDRIVE SYSTEMS, INC.,				
15	Defendant. On July 12, 2012, Plaintiff DriveCar				
16	On July 12, 2012, Plaintiff DriveCam, Inc. and Defendant SmartDrive Systems, Inc. filed a joint motion to dismiss all claims and counterclaims asserted by the parties with				
17		ive's counterclaims VIII (declaration of patent			
18	invalidity) and IX (declaration of patent unenforceability), which the parties request dismissal				
19	without prejudice. (Doc. No. 97.) The Court, for good cause shown, grants the parties' joint				
20	motion. Accordingly, the Court dismisses all claims and counterclaims asserted by the parties				
21	with prejudice, with the exception of SmartDrive's counterclaims VIII (declaration of patent				
22	invalidity) and IX (declaration of patent unenforceability), which are dismissed without				
23	prejudice.  IT IS SO ORDERED.				
25	DATED: July 13, 2012	^^			
26		Muilon 1 Hill			
27		MARILYN L. HUFF, District Judge			
28		UNITED STATES DÍSTRICT COURT			
31					